

Notizie dalla regione: ricerca, assistenza e politiche sanitarie

Roma 23-24 settembre 2016 Villa Malta





Vasculopatia periferica ne pazienti diabetici in dialis

Dott. Marco Meloni Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD)

Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II)

L. Norgren,^a W.R. Hiatt,^b J.A. Dormandy, M.R. Nehler, K.A. Harris, and F.G.R. Fowkes on behalf of the TASC II Working Group, *Örebro, Sweden and Denver, Colorado*





Epidemiology

-30% prevalence among diabetic patients

Jude EB et al. Peripheral arterial disease in diabete

Diabe

2% in newly diagnosed diabetes type 2

Mingardi R et al. SCAR (SCreening for ARteriopathy) S

Screening for peripheral arterial disease by means of the ankle-brachial index in newly diagnosed type 2 diabe

Diabe

0% in diabetes with foot ulcers

Prompers L et al Prediction of outcome in individuals with diabetic foot ulcers: focus on the differences between

with and without peripheral arterial disease. EURODIALE Study Diabeto

larely symptomatic because of the frequent concomitance of sensitive motor neuropat

Aiello et al. Treatment of peripheral arterial disease in

A consensus of the Italian Societies of Diabetes (SID, AMD), Radiology (SIRM) and Vascular Endovascular Surge

• Elsi

Epidemiology

Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease (TASC II)

L. Norgren,^a W.R. Hiatt,^b J.A. Dormandy, M.R. Nehler, K.A. Harris, and F.G.R. Fowkes on behalf of the TASC II Working Group, *Örebro, Sweden and Denver, Colorado*

	Increased risk VS general population (%)		
Original event	Myocardial infarction	Stroke	
Myocardial infarction	5–7x greater risk (includes death)	3-4 x greater risk (includes TIA)	
Stroke	2–3 x greater risk (includes angina and sudden death)	9x greater risk	
Peripheral arterial disease	4 x greater risk (includes fatal MI and other CHD death)	2–3 x greater risk (includes TIA)	

Characteristic of PAD



Vascular Involvement in Diabetic Subjects with Ischemic Foot Ulcer: A New Morphologic Categorization of Disease Severity

> L. Graziani,^{1*} A. Silvestro,¹ V. Bertone,² E. Manara,³ R. Andreini,⁴ A. Sigala,⁵ R. Mingardi⁶ and R. De Giglio⁷

- Rapid progression
- Distal and bilateral
- Vascular calcification
- Reduced neoangiogenesis
- Poor collateral vessels

Characteristics of PAD

Peripheral Arterial Disease in Diabetic and Nondiabetic Patients

A comparison of severity and outcome

EDWARD B. JUDE MD, MRCP SAMSON O. OYIBO, MRCP NICHOLAS CHALMERS, FRCR ANDREW J.M. BOULTON, MD, FRCP extremity amputation in diabetic patients with chronic foot ulcers (9). Few studies have compared the sever-

Table 1—Demographics, smoking history, follow-up duration, and indications for arteriography in diabetic and nondiabetic patients

	Diabetic	Nondiabetic	
	patients	patients	P value
n	58	78	
Age (years)	63.83 ± 10.4	65.31 ± 11.11	0.43
Men (%)	34 (59.7)	47 (61.8)	0.42
Smokers* (%)	47 (81.0)	60 (76.9)	0.26
Duration of follow-up (years)	4.47 ± 1.25	4.52 ± 1.23	0.85
Indications for arteriography			
Intermittent claudication	50 (86.2)	64 (82.1)	0.25
Rest pain	2 (3.5)	9 (11.5)	0.04
Foot ulcer	24 (41.4)	7 (8.9)	< 0.0001
Foot gangrene	7 (12.1)	2 (2.6)	0.01
Number of amputations	24 (41.4)	9 (11.5)	< 0.0001
High level	18	9	
Low level	6	0	

Data are n (%) or means \pm SD. *This includes current smokers and ex-smokers.

Table 2—Differences in median arterial occlusion score between diabetic and nondiabetic patients

	Median interqu occlu		
Arterial segment†	Diabetic patients	Nondiabetic patients	P value
Aorta	3 (3-4)	3 (3-3.5)	0.50
Common iliac	3 (2-3)	3 (2-3)	0.76
External iliac	2 (0-3)	3 (2-3)	0.15
Internal iliac	3 (0-6)	3 (0-4)	0.51
Profunda femoris	3 (0-5)	0 (0-2)	0.02
Superficial femoral	8 (4-13)	7 (2-9)	0.10
Popliteal	7 (3-10)	3 (0-4)	0.02
Anterior tibial	13 (4-15)	3 (0-13)	0.002
Peroneal	5 (0-15)	0 (0-6)	0.001
Posterior tibial	15 (0-15)	4 (0-14)	0.001

Data are n (interquartile range). *Because arterial segment disease was bilaterally similar, only one side (left) is used for analysis.

systematic review of the effectiveness of vascularization of the ulcerated foot in patients th diabetes and peripheral arterial disease[†]

iews/Commentaries/Position Statements NSENSUS STATEMENT

eripheral Arterial Disease in People ith Diabetes

CAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION

lower-extremity amputation, especially in

miology/Health Services Research

ng-Term Prognosis of Diabetic Patients th Critical Limb Ischemia

pulation-based cohort study

elia, md¹ Clerici, md¹ Clerissi, md² brielli, md³ osa, md³ Mantero, md¹

Maurizio Caminiti, md¹ Vincenzo Curci, md¹ Antonella Quarantiello, md¹ Tommaso Luppattelli, md² Alberto Morabito, phd⁴ lies were trained to manage both an ulcerated and a nonulcerated foot. The importance of prompt immediate referral to our center, irrespective of scheduled visits, was pointed out in cases of insurgence of ischemic rest nain or ulcerations

IEW

lence-based Management of PAD & the Diabetic Foot CME

Brownrigg ^{a,*}, J. Apelqvist ^b, K. Bakker ^c, N.C. Schaper ^d, R.J. Hinchliffe ^a

rge's Vascular Institute, St George's Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK ment of Endocrinology, University Hospital of Malmö, Sweden

, Heemstede, The Netherlands

n of Endocrinology, MUMC+, CARIM and CAPHRI Institute, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Treatment of PAD: revascularization

- By-pass and PTA are two options to treat PAD
- PTA is a safety procedure in diabetic patients with critical limb ischemia
- PTA can be performed in patients with many comorbidities
- High rate of limb salvage (70-80% a 1 year)

Epidemiology

Diabetes and renal disease are independent risk factors for peripheral arterial disease

Norgren L. et al TASC II Working Group, Endovascular Surgery 20

The prevalence of PAD among patients with end stage renal disease (ESRE has been reported in up to 77%

Scheiffer T. et al J Diabetes Compl 19

ESRD is a strong risk factor for both ulceration and amputation in diabetic patients

Luksha N. et Al, Clinical Science 20

mpact of Renal Insufficiency on Mortality in Advanced ower Extremity Peripheral Arterial Disease

Ann M. O'Hare,*[¶] Daniel Bertenthal,[‡] Michael G. Shlipak,^{†|¶} Saunak Sen,^{||} Mary-Margaret Chren[§]

*Divisions of Nephrology and [†]General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, [‡]Health Services Research Enhancement Award Program, and [§]Department of Dermatology, VA Medical Center San Francisco; and ^{II}Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics; and ^{II}Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California



Figure 1. Clinical presentation of critical limb ischemia by level of renal function.



Figure 2. Percent annual mortality by level of renal function and clinical presentation.

e 1. Characteristics of cohort patients by level of renal function

$(\pm SD)$ 67 ± 11 73 ± 9^a 71 ± 10^a k (%) 22% $18\%^a$ 23% betes (%) 53% $68\%^a$ $74\%^a$ lian serum glucose (25th–75th percentile range) $120 (97–174)$ $131 (100–195)^a$ $135 (100–199)^a$ bertension (%) 76% $89\%^a$ $90\%^a$ conary artery disease (%) 48% $62\%^a$ $65\%^a$ gestive heart failure (%) 22% $41\%^a$ $48\%^a$ ebrovascular disease (%) 24% $29\%^a$ 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	Characteristic	$\begin{array}{l} \text{GFR} \geq 60 \\ (n = 3561) \end{array}$	GFR 30-60 $(n = 1742)$	GFR < 30 (<i>n</i> = 484)
k (%) 22% 18% ^a 23% betes (%) 53% 68% ^a 74% ^a lian serum glucose (25th–75th percentile range) 120 (97–174) 131 (100–195) ^a 135 (100–199) ^a pertension (%) 76% 89% ^a 90% ^a ponary artery disease (%) 48% 62% ^a 65% ^a gestive heart failure (%) 22% 41% ^a 48% ^a ebrovascular disease (%) 24% 29% ^a 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	(±SD)	67 ± 11	73 ± 9^{a}	71 ± 10^{a}
betes (%) 53% 68% ^a 74% ^a lian serum glucose (25th–75th percentile range) 120 (97–174) 131 (100–195) ^a 135 (100–199) ^a bertension (%) 76% 89% ^a 90% ^a conary artery disease (%) 48% 62% ^a 65% ^a gestive heart failure (%) 22% 41% ^a 48% ^a ebrovascular disease (%) 24% 29% ^a 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	k (%)	22%	18% ^a	23%
lian serum glucose (25th–75th percentile range)120 (97–174)131 $(100–195)^a$ 135 $(100–199)^a$ pertension (%)76%89%a90%aponary artery disease (%)48%62%a65%agestive heart failure (%)22%41%a48%aebrovascular disease (%)24%29%a27%ponic obstructive pulmonary disease (%)35%38%33%	petes (%)	53%	68% ^a	74% ^a
bertension (%) 76% 89% ^a 90% ^a conary artery disease (%) 48% 62% ^a 65% ^a gestive heart failure (%) 22% 41% ^a 48% ^a ebrovascular disease (%) 24% 29% ^a 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	lian serum glucose (25th–75th percentile range)	120 (97-174)	131 (100–195) ^a	135 (100–199) ^a
onary artery disease (%) 48% 62% ^a 65% ^a gestive heart failure (%) 22% 41% ^a 48% ^a ebrovascular disease (%) 24% 29% ^a 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	pertension (%)	76%	89% ^a	90% ^a
gestive heart failure (%) 22% 41% ^a 48% ^a ebrovascular disease (%) 24% 29% ^a 27% onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	onary artery disease (%)	48%	62% ^a	65% ^a
ebrovascular disease (%)24%29%a27%onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%)35%38%33%	gestive heart failure (%)	22%	41% ^a	48% ^a
onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%) 35% 38% 33%	ebrovascular disease (%)	24%	29% ^a	27%
	onic obstructive pulmonary disease (%)	35%	38%	33%

≤ 0.001. Comparisons are with GFR ≥60 ml/min per 1.73 m².

n Soc Nephrol 16: 514-519, 2005. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2004050409

gh Levels of Foot Ulceration and nputation Risk in a Multiracial Cohort Diabetic Patients on Dialysis Therapy

NDIP, MD^{1,2} NCE A. LAVERY, DPM. MPH³ LAFONTAINE, DPM. MS³ S K. RUTTER, MD. FRCP^{1,2}

ANAND VARDHAN, MD, MRCP⁴ LORETTA VILEIKYTE, MD, PHD^{1,2} ANDREW J.M. BOULTON, MD, FRCP^{1,2} confirming PAD, noncompressible arteries (ABPI >1.4 with monophasic or biphasic waveforms) (8), or the absence of two or more foot pulses on palpation (9). The International Working Group on

or amputation was related to previous ulcer, peripheral arterial disease and haemodialysis...»

alysis Treatment Is an Independent Risk tor for Foot Ulceration in Patients With abetes and Stage 4 or 5 Chronic Kidney sease

IDIP, MD^{1,2} K. Rutter, MD, frcp^{1,2} Vileikyte, MD, prd^{1,2} Vardhan, MD, MRCP³ RAI Asari, MD, MRCP³ MERIEEN JAMEEL, MECHB⁴ HASSAN A. TABLE, MECHB⁴ LAWRENCE A. LAVERY, DPM, MPH⁵ ANDREW J.M. BOULTON, MD, FRCP^{1,2} have shown that incident foot ulceration increases with progressive renal impairment (5), and one study reported a close temporal relation among the onset of dialysis, foot ulceration, and amputations

Dialysis treatment was independentment associated with foot ulceration. Guidelines should ghlights dialysis as an important risk factor for foot ulceration requiring intensive foot care.»

heral Vascular Disease Risk Factors among Patients rgoing Hemodialysis

ANN M. O'HARE,* CHI-YUAN HSU,* PETER BACCHETTI,[†] and KIRSTEN L. JOHANSEN*^{†‡}

*Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, and [†]Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, and [‡]Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, California. The association of PVD and vintage has not been previous reported. This might suggest an association with some far associated either with the dialysis process or with ESRD its Such processes could include vascular calcification, oxida stress, chronic inflammation, or exposure to atherogenic tors associated with dialysis or uremia.

Variable	OR	95% CI	P Value	Variable	OR	95% CI	P Value
Age (per 10-yr increase)	1.15	1.10 to 1.20	< 0.001	Age (per 10-yr increase)	1.15	1.08 to 1.22	< 0.001
White race (versus non- white race)	1.27	1.14 to 1.42	< 0.001	White race (versus non- white race)	1.21	1.03 to 1.43	0.021
Male gender	1.26	1.13 to 1.41	< 0.001	Male gender ^b	1.60	1.36 to 1.89	< 0.001
Diabetes mellitus	4.18	3.75 to 4.67	< 0.001	Diabetes mellitus	4.81	4.08 to 5.67	< 0.001
Coronary artery disease	2.85	2.55 to 3.19	< 0.001	Coronary artery disease	2.41	2.05 to 2.84	< 0.001
Cerebrovascular disease	1.81	1.58 to 2.06	< 0.001	Cerebrovascular disease	1.86	1.53 to 2.25	< 0.001
Smoking (ever versus never)	1.27	1.13 to 1.42	< 0.001	Smoking (ever versus never) ^b	1.55	1.31 to 1.83	< 0.001
Diastolic BP (per 10-	0.91	0.87 to 0.96	< 0.001	Diastolic BP (per 10-	0.92	0.86 to 0.98	0.008
mmHg increase)				mmHg increase)			
LVH by EKG	1.14	1.01 to 1.29	0.037	LVH by EKG	1.36	1.15 to 1.61	< 0.001
Malnourished	1.47	1.23 to 1.74	< 0.001	Malnourished	1.44	1.13 to 1.85	0.004
Albumin level (per 1-g/	0.67	0.58 to 0.77	< 0.001	Albumin level (per 1-g/	0.69	0.56 to 0.86	0.001
PTH level (per doubling	0.96	0.93 to 0.99	0.016	PTH level (per doubling	0.96	0.92 to 1.00	0.068
Vintage (per doubling of vintage)	1.13	1.10 to 1.17	< 0.001	Vintage (per doubling of	1.11	1.05 to 1.17	< 0.001
Kt/V	0.75	0.57 to 0.99	0.034	Kt/V	1.16	0.79 to 1.72	0.447

Impaired resistance artery function in patients with end-stage renal disease

Flow mediated dilatation is attenued in ESRD patients

Dilatation in response to acetylcholine was reduced





6.5

7.5

-log [ACh], Mol/L

5.5

80

100

8.5

Clinical Study Implications of Foot Ulceration in Hemodialysis Patients: A 5-Year Observational Study

Hassan Al-Thani,¹ Ayman El-Menyar,^{2,3,4} Valsa Koshy,¹ Ahmed Hussein,¹ Ahmed Sharaf,¹ Mohammad Asim,² and Ahmed Sadek¹

Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Diabetes Research Volume 2014, Article ID 945075, 6 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/945075



FIGURE 2: Outcomes in HD patients based on the presence of DM (P = 0.001 for all).



FIGURE 3: Outcomes in HD patients based on the presence/absence of DM and/or PAD (P = 0.001 for all).

Nutrition, Metabolism & Cardiovascular Diseases (2014) 24, 355-369



SPECIAL ARTICLE



lysis patients have more vessels calcification that can hamper the endovascular atment with a technical poor success

patient on dialysis treatment the arterial disease is more distal and also collateral sels can be involved

ween 22% and 44% of dialyzed patients undergo primary amputations because of memic lesions. High short-term mortality rate (3-17%) and low long-term survival (45%) can negatively influence the decision to undertake revascularization

is feasible and effective in dialysis patients with PAD, and should be preferred to er more invasive intervention



CrossMark







cidence of dialysis among diabetic patients with diabetic foc cer



Data 2003-2015, Policlinico Tor Vergata Roma



Percutaneous Angioplasty in Diabetic Patients with Critical Limb Ischemia and Chronic Kidney Disease

Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristic of 456 patients according to chronic kidney disease stage.

D classes	Class $1 n = 40$	Class $2 n = 164$	Class 3 n = 154	Class $4 n = 38$	Class $5 n = 60$	P value (X ANOVA)
e (mean)	62.9	66.9	70.4	71.5	71.9	<0.001
x (% male)	67	70	51	53	71	ns
pe 2 diabetes (%)	91.5	96.9	94.1	91.2	86.6	<0.001
abetes duration (years)	20.9	19.4	20.4	20.5	19.8	ns
ood glucose (mg/dl)	159.8	146.9	151.1	145.8	139.8	ns
C (%)	7.98	8.08	7.39	9.77	7.17	ns
3P (mmHg))	80.5	82	79.6	78.6	77	<0.001
P (mmHg)	138.7	135	134.9	135	134	ns
tal cholesterol (mg/dl)	155.7	159.8	173.8	167.7	146.5	ns
DL (mg/dl)	36.1	38.2	42.6	43.6	41.4	ns
glycerides (mg/dl)	126.5	135.5	140	137	163	ns
L (mg/dl)	95.7	96.4	106.1	92.7	81.5	<0.001
cer dimension > 5 cm	57.58	53.06	59.71	62.4	57.04	ns
èction yes (%)	81.2	75.2	72	70	75	ns
VC D3 (%)	85.7	78.9	78.63	76.19	77.5	ns

diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TWC, Texas wound classification.





..in conlusione, our data suggest that ESRD can influence the outcomes in terms of limb salvage, major amputation and death..



g term outcomes of diabetic haemodialysis ents with critical limb ischemia and foot ulcer *

2 – Multivariate analysis in not dialysis group. TcPO2: Transcutaneous Partial Pressure of Oxygen; PTA: percutaneous uminal angioplasty; HDL: high density lipoproteins.							
	Healing	p value	Amputation	p value	Death	p value	
emic heart disease PO2 successful values otid artery disease	0.39 (0.23–0.85) 0.015 (0.0049–0.027)	0.0015 0.0054	0.39 (0.25–0.96)	<0.0001	0.08 (0.038–0.14) 1.07 (1.02–2–1)	0.0014 0.0386	

e 3 – Multivariate analysis in dialysis group. Any significant variab ivariate analysis.	le was found for healing, non healing and	l death at the
	Amputation	p value
ed blood pressure control (systolic > 130 mmHg, diastolic > 80)	2.02 (1.8–3.7)	0.0146





Dialysis increases the risk of Non Healin Major Amputation and Death. Specif factors related to adverse outcomes we not identifed and dialyzed patients shou be considered highest risk subjects.

Meloni M. et al, 2016

CrossMark



term outcomes of diabetic haemodialysis nts with critical limb ischemia and foot ulcer *

ine characteristics of general population, dialysis group and not dialysis group. HbA1C: glycated hemoglobin; sity_lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; TWC: Texas Wound Classification; TcPO2: Transcutaneous

e or Oxygen.				
	Total	Dialysis group	Not dialysis group	p value
	599	99 (16.5%)	500 (83.5%)	
	70.02 ± 9.91	66.8 ± 9.4	70.6 ± 9.9	0.0012
	62%	62.6%	62.1%	0.92
es (%)	95%	90.6%	95.3%	0.27
ation (years)	20.42 ± 12.92	20.7 ± 1.3	20.3 ± 0.5	0.78
y disease (%)	24%	25.3%	23.7%	0.75
rt disease (%)	44%	57.8%	41.5%	0.0061
nmol/mol)	(7.8 ± 0.2%), (62 ± 2)	(7.3 ± 2%), (56 ± 15)	(7.9 ± 5.5%), (63 ± 43)	0.36
: (%)	61%	57.5%	61.3%	0.64
l pressure (mmHg)	135 ± 15	133.7 ± 17	135 ± 15	0.42
d pressure (mmHg)	80 ± 2	77 ± 9	80 ± 9	0.0103
	65%	72.1%	63.8%	0.281
erol (mg/dl)	166 ± 39	144 ± 43	163 ± 45	0.0028
	43 ± 13	38 ± 13	43 ± 13	0.004
(mg/dl)	148 ± 88	171 ± 88	135 ± 63	< 0.0001
	96 ± 32	79±4	98 ± 2	0.0002
5)	29%	44.9%	26.6%	0.0115
ærs (%)	23.5%	21%	24.1%	0.53
cm ²)	48%	54.7%	46%	0.14
(%)	76%	75.9%	75.8%	0.83
6)	19%	38.8%	13.6%	0.0003
(%)	74%	74%	73.9%	0.96
3 (%)	67%	75.6%	64.4%	0.30
(mmHg)	16.9 ± 15.6	18.05 ± 15.3	16.7 ± 15.7	0.51
O2 (mmHg)	33.8 ± 19.4	$29.6 \pm 1 \pm 2.73$	44.43 ± 1.01	0.099
Hg)	26.5 ± 6.6	19.77 ± 24.54	27.49 ± 23.46	0.040
ction (n)	2.6 ± 0.13	2.8 ± 0.16	2.6 ± 0.04	0.02
	18%	11.11%	19.63%	0.11
es (%)	17%	15.28%	17.91%	0.73
l failure (%)	12%	7.81%	10.71%	0.09
6)	23%	34.78%	20.92%	0.046
	53%	47.44%	53.56%	0.31
ical failure (%)	10%	35.71%	3.7%	0.0018

- Dialyzed were younger than not dialyzed
- Approximately 60% had ischemic heart disease
- They had low levels of lipids

CrossMark

- They had more heel ulcers
- They had more vessels affected
- They need more rePTA
- They had more technical failure both at PTA and reP1

Meloni M. et al, 2016

Revascularization in dialyzed patients



Dialysis

Peripheral arterial disease in diabetic patients: differences between dialysis and not



Dialysis Not dialysis

Unpublished data

Peripheral arterial disease in diabetic patients: differences between dialysis and not



BTA: below-the-ankle arterial disease

Unpublished dat

Vascular calcifications and dialysis

Vascular calcification (VC) is a pathological process occurring in response to an inappropriate environmental milieu. Local and circulating inhibitors of soft-tissue mineralization are down-regulated in CKD patients leading to a phenotype transformation of vascular smooth muscle cells into osteocyte-like cells capable of undergoing the mineralization process.

Moe SM, Chen NX. Mechanisms of vascular calcification in chronic kidney disease. J Am Soc Nephrol 2008

Shroff RC, Shanahan CM. The vascular biology of calcification. Semin Dial 2007

Numerous risk factors have been reported for VCs. Some of these are 'classic', such as ageing, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidaemia.



Stomp'or T et al. An association between coronary artery calcification score, lipid profile, and selected markers

of chronic inflammation in ESRD patients treated with peritoneal dialysis. Am J Kidney Dis 2003;

Rufino M, Garcia S, Jimenez A et al. Heart valve calcification and calcium × phosphorus product in hemodialysis patients:

analysis of optimum values for its prevention. Kidney Int Suppl 2003

Vascular calcifications in diabetic patients: differences between dialyzed and not



Proposed Fluoroscopy/DSA based Peripheral Arterial Calcification Scoring System (PACCS): intimal and medial vessel wall calcification at the target lesion site as assessed by high intensity fluoroscopy and digital subtraction angiography (DSA) assessed in AP projection.

Grade 0: No visible calcium at the target lesion site

Grade 1: unilateral calcification < 5 cm; a) intimal calcification; b) medial calcification; c) mixed type

Grade 2: : unilateral calcification \ge 5 cm; a) intimal calcification; b) medial calcification; c) mixed type

Grade 3: bilateral calcification < 5 cm; a) intimal calcification; b) medial calcification; c) mixed type

Grade 4: bilateral calcification ≥ 5 cm; a) intimal calcification; b) medial calcification; c) mixed type

Vascular calcification above and below the knee

Impact of heart failure and dialysis in the prognosis of diabetic patients with critical limb ischemia and foot ulcer

104 diabetic patients with critical limb ischemia

man (71/104) 68%; woman (33/104) 32%

age 68,5±1,05 years

diabetes duration 21,5±1,2 years

mean HbA1c 64,3±2 mmol/mol

Revascularization (endovascular approace)

Surgical debridement

>Antibiotic therapy

➢Offloading

➢Close Follow-up

Meloni M, 2016 Diabetic Foot Study Group Conference

Diabetes, heart failure and dialysis

Group 1 (patients without HF and without D) (HF-, D-) (49/104) (47,1%)

- Group 2 (patients with HF and without D) (HF+, D-) (20/104) (19,2%)
- Group 3 (patients without HF and with D) (HF-, D+) (20/104) (19,2%)

Group 4 (patients with HF and D) (HF+, D+) (15/104) (14,5%)

<u>leart failure</u>: HF was considered in case of signs, symptoms of HF and jection fraction less than 35% or preserved ejection fraction (35-50%) /ith relevant structural heart disease (left ventricular hypertrophy, iastolic impairment)

<u>Dialysis</u>: D was considered in case of chronic renal replacement therapy

Table I	Diagnosis	of heart	failure
---------	-----------	----------	---------

The diagnosis of HF-REF requires three conditions to be satisfied
I. Symptoms typical of HF
2. Signs typical of HF=
3. Reduced LVEF
The diagnosis of HF-PEF requires four conditions to be satisfied:
I. Symptoms typical of HF
2. Signs typical of HP
3. Normal or only mildly reduced LVEF and LV not dilated
 Relevant structural heart disease (LV hypertrophy/LA enlargement) and/or diastolic dysfunction (see Section 4.1.2)

HF = heart failure; HF-PEF = heart failure with 'preserved' ejection fra HF-REF = heart failure and a reduced ejection fraction; LA = left atrial ventricular; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction. ^aSigns may not be present in the early stages of HF (especially in HF-P patients treated with divretics (see Section 3.6).

Results - Baseline characteristics

Variables	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	Х
Age (years)	68,2±1,4	71,9±2,3	66,1±2,1	69,3±2,4	0.3
Sex (male)	70,3%	66,7%	76,9%	78,9%	0.65
Diabetes duration (years)	17,6±1,5	23,9±2,4	22,9±2,2	23,1±2,6	0.06
Hypertension	83%	95%	69%	58%	0.035
Dyslipidemia	80,8%	95,5%	50%	73,7%	0.004
Smoke	21,1%	4,7%	0%	0%	0.0015
Anemia	66,7%	100%	80,8%	93,8%	0.002
Malnutrition	59%	100%	91,7%	100%	0.0001
Inability to stand or walk without help	0%	20%	15,4%	25%	0.002
Ulcers size (>5 cm ²)	63,6%	85%	69,2%	100%	0.003
Foot Infection	55,5%	86,9%	73%	84,2%	0.0125
Procalcitonin	3,9%	25%	23%	62,5%	0.0001
Pro-BNP	716±273	6305±2744	11591±2992	26063±6729	0.0001
PTA complications	5,7%	7,5%	8,2%	5,5%	0.9
Hospital complications	19%	47,3%	23,1%	50%	0.03

Group 1: HF-, D-. Group 2: HF+, D-. Group 3: HF-, D+. Group 4: HF+, D+



LS: limb salvage MA: major amputation De: Death

MA= major amputation De= death

120

➢Group 1 (HF-. D-): - amputation 4.4%
- death 0%

Low risk patients

➢Group 2 (HF+. D-): - amputation 6.3%
- death 31.2

➢Group 3 (HF-. D+): - amputation 4%
- death 20%

High risk patients

➢Group 4 (HF+. D+): - amputation 6%
- death 56.3%

Highest risk patients

Discussion

- PAD is a severe complication of diabetes
- Dialysis is a strong risk factor for foot ulcer, non-healing ulcer, major amputation and death in diabetic patients with PAD
- PAD in dialyzed patients is distal with the involvement of the vessels below the ankle
- Below the ankle arterial disease increases the risk of non healing and major amputation

Discussion

- Vascular calcification are more severe in dialyzed than in not dialyzed
- PTA is feasible and effective in dialysis patients with PAD, and should be preferred to other more invasive interventions
- Dialysis increases the risk of restenosis after revascularization
- Heart failure and dialysis leads to a highest risk of mortality in diabetic patients with PAD

Recent outcomes in diabetic patients on dialysis compared to previous data

70



Limb salvage Amputation Death



Notizie dalla regione: ricerca, assistenza e politiche sanitarie

Roma 23-24 settembre 2016 Villa Malta





Vasculopatia periferica ne pazienti diabetici in dialis

Dott. Marco Meloni Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata